	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/512,037	MUKAWA, HIROSHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leo Boutsikaris	2872
	Leo Boutsikalis	2012
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>application filed on 10/20/04</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-38</u> .		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the:		
1. 🖸 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
		·
Attachment(s)	~ [] \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	5. Notice of Informal P	' '
	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 10/20/04 	7. Examiner's Amenda	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. Other	LEONIDAS BOUTSIKARIS PRIMARY EXAMINER
		Leo Boutsikaris, Ph.D., Esq. Primary Patent Examiner, AU 2872 6/21/07

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-38 are allowed.

Claims 1-38 are allowable over the prior art of record for at least the reason that even though the prior art discloses image display devices, wherein light is transmitted through a diffractive optical element based on the Bragg condition satisfied by the angle of incidence and subsequently said light is reflected from a reflective holographic optical element to be incident again onto the diffractive optical element, or wherein light is transmitted through a diffractive optical element and subsequently said light is reflected from a reflective holographic optical element to exit the display system, or wherein light is reflected from a diffractive optical element based on the Bragg condition satisfied by the angle of incidence and subsequently said light is reflected from a reflective holographic optical element to be incident again onto the diffractive optical element, the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, regarding claims 1-19, an image display device comprising a transmission type diffraction optical element for diffracting exit lights from the image display element, wherein the transmission type diffraction optical element with a diffraction

Application/Control Number: 10/512,037

Art Unit: 2872

efficiency lower than a diffraction efficiency for the exit lights from the image display element, and regarding claims 20-38, an image display device comprising a transmission type diffraction optical element for diffracting exit lights from the image display element, wherein the transmission type diffraction optical element diffracts the reflected lights from the reflection type optical element with a diffraction efficiency higher than a diffraction efficiency for the exit lights from the image display element, as set forth by the claimed combination.

Takeyama (US 2002/0060850, Fig. 8) and Fairchild (US 4,688,879, Fig. 6) disclose an image display device, wherein light is transmitted through a diffractive optical element, is reflected from a reflective member, and transmitted back to and through the diffractive element. However, in the above systems, transmission of light through the diffractive element is based on the incidence angle (e.g., satisfaction of Bragg condition), not on the polarization state of the light as it is the case in the claimed system.

Takeyama (US 6,636,356, Fig. 2) and Roberts (US 5,396,349, Fig. 2) disclose an image display device, wherein light is transmitted through a diffractive optical element, is reflected from a reflective member, and transmitted towards the exit, not back to and through the diffractive element, as it is the case in the claimed system.

Amanai (US 2004/0109208, Fig. 1) and Takeyama (US 2003/0086135, Fig. 1) disclose an image display device, wherein light is reflected from a diffractive optical element, is reflected again from a second reflective member, and transmitted towards the exit.

David (US 7,205,960, Fig. 7b) discloses an image display device, wherein light is transmitted through a first diffractive optical element, is reflected from a reflective member, and transmitted back to and through a second diffractive element.

Art Unit: 2872

Finally, it is noted that Suzuki (US 5,999,282, Fig. 1) discloses an image display device, wherein light is transmitted through a diffractive element, is reflected from a reflective element back and through the diffractive element, before it exits the device, wherein the transmission of light through the diffractive optical element depends on the polarization state of the light.

However, in the above device, the reflective element (i.e., 11) is the image display element itself, and the light incident onto the diffractive element 3 is simply a read light, not exit light from the image display element.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Leo Boutsikaris whose telephone number is 571-272-2308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 2872

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Leo Boutsikaris, Ph.D., Esq.

Primary Patent Examiner, AU 2872

June 21, 2007

LEONIDAS BOUTSIKARIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER